



JPW, \$

<b>AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity)</b>					Docket No. <b>L8462.03109</b>	
Applicant(s): <b>Osamu ICHIKAWA</b>						
Application No. <b>10/647,506</b>	Filing Date <b>August 26, 2003</b>	Examiner <b>J. Hollington</b>	Customer No. <b>24257</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2829</b>	Confirmation No. <b>2727</b>	
Invention: <b>SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED CIRCUIT AND MEMORY TEST METHOD</b>						
 <b>COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:</b>						
Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.						
<b>CLAIMS AS AMENDED</b>						
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	
TOTAL CLAIMS	28 -	26 =	2	x \$50.00	\$100.00	
INDEP. CLAIMS	22 -	20 =	2	x \$200.00	\$400.00	
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/>					\$0.00	
<b>TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT</b>					<b>\$500.00</b>	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><div><input type="checkbox"/> No additional fee is required for amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of <b>\$500.00</b> to cover the filing fee is enclosed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account <b>19-4375</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17. <input type="checkbox"/> Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038.</div><div><b>WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.</b></div></div>						
<div style="text-align: center;"> _____ <i>Signature</i></div> <div><b>James E. Ledbetter, Esq.</b> <b>Registration No. 28,732</b> <b>Stevens, Davis, Miller &amp; Mosher, LLP</b> <b>1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 850</b> <b>Washington, DC 20036</b> <b>Tel: 202-785-0100</b> <b>Fax: 202-408-5200</b></div>			<div>Dated: <b>January 31, 2005</b></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"><div>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____ <div style="text-align: center;">(Date)</div><div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; margin-top: 10px;">Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence</div><div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; margin-top: 10px;">Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence</div></div></div>			
CC:						



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Inventors: Osamu ICHIKAWA

Art Unit: 2829

Appln. No.: 10/647,506

Exr.: J. Hollington

Filed: August 26, 2003

For: SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED CIRCUIT AND MEMORY TEST  
METHOD

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Election of Species Requirement dated December 30, 2004, the applicant hereby elects Species of Fig. 1, with traverse. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 16, 27 and 28 are directed to the elected species. Claims 27 and 28 are added in a Preliminary Amendment filed herewith. The office action has deemed no claims as generic. Under 37 CFR 1.146, upon allowance of generic claims, the Applicants are entitled to consideration of the remaining species.

The Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Election of Species Requirement. No unduly extensive or burdensome search would be required to examine the claims of the various species in the same application. MPEP §803 states:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions."

In the present case, the search for all pending claims together would not be burdensome, since the fields of search would likely overlap and pertinent art to the claims of the identified species would likely be found while searching each of the inventions individually.

Moreover, from the standpoint of costs to the applicant involved in filing, issuance and maintenance fees relating to separate applications if the present requirement were maintained, it is clear that there is substantially more burden on the Applicant by imposing the present requirement than on the Patent Office if the requirement were withdrawn.

In addition, it is noted that to require the claims of the various species to issue in separate patents would result in inconvenience to the public by necessitating reference to more than one patent during searching, in order to review closely related subject matter.

Therefore, withdrawal of the election requirement is warranted.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the election requirement  
are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 31, 2005

James E. Ledbetter  
Registration No. 28,732

JEL/ejw

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. L8462.03109

STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.  
1615 L Street, NW  
P.O. Box 34387  
Washington, DC 20043-4387  
Telephone: (202) 408-5100  
Facsimile: (202) 408-5200